DCRP/23/05/PC: Modification to DOC6 to allow protection from disconnection, where technically feasible, for customers when implementing demand disconnection at levels above 20% of total demand

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation document. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00, 17 November 2023** to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org) and please title your email ‘Consultation Response DCRP/23/05/PC Modification to DOC6 to allow protection from disconnection, where technically feasible, for customers when implementing demand disconnection at levels above 20% of total demand’ Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Working Group.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to DCode Administrator on 020 7706 5105, or to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent** | *Name* |
| **Company Name** |  |
| **No. of DCode Stakeholders Represented** |  |
| **Stakeholders represented** | *Please list all Stakeholder names responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).* |
| **Role of Respondent** | *Eg Distributor/Supplier/Generator/ Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / Distributor / other – please state* [[1]](#footnote-2)*)* |
| **We intend to publish the consultation responses on the DCode website. Do you agree to this response being published on the DCode website? [Y/N]** |  |

|  | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q1 | Do you agree with the general intent of the proposed modification? If not, it would be helpful if you could explain your views. |  |
| Q2 | Do you agree that the draft legal text set out in appendix 1 is adequate to allow DNOs to exclude ESEC protected customers, where technically feasible, from disconnection in emergencies? Please provide any comments on the drafting of appendix 1. |  |
| Q3 | Do you believe that this proposed modification better facilitates the applicable Distribution Code Objectives? |  |

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of the proposed modifications[[2]](#footnote-3)

| Page / line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type  of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS | Proposed change | OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT  on each comment submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | See comments above. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Add more rows if required [↑](#footnote-ref-3)